

ThreatConnect® Risk Quantifier Release Notes

Software Versions 7.8 and 7.9

July 9, 2024

ThreatConnect, Inc. 3865 Wilson Blvd., Suite 550, Arlington, VA 22203 P: 1.800.965.2708 | F: 703.229.4489 www.ThreatConnect.com



ThreatConnect[®] is a registered trademark of ThreatConnect, Inc. FAIR™ is a trademark of The FAIR Institute. CVE[®] and MITRE[®] are registered trademarks of The MITRE Corporation.



Table of Contents

New Features and Functionality	4
Side Navigation Bar Updates	4
Heat Map: 5x5 Analysis Matrix	5
Technical Analysis	8
Technical Assets	8
Technical Risks	10
Endpoint Profile	10
Improvements	12
Integrations	14
Bug Fixes	15



New Features and Functionality

Side Navigation Bar Updates

In ThreatConnect Risk Quantifier (RQ) version 7.9, we replaced the **Output Analysis** option on the side navigation bar with three new options to help you access the information you are looking for more quickly and to include new features in this release.



Financial Insights includes the Financial Analysis, Impact Vectors, and Applications options previously found under Output Analysis and, for version 7.9, a new 5x5 Analysis Matrix option. Technical Analysis includes two brand-new options—Technical Assets and Technical Risks—as well as the Endpoint Profile option previously found under Configuration. Finally, Recommendations includes the Short-Term Recommendations and Long-Term Recommendations options previously found under Output Analysis.



Heat Map: 5x5 Analysis Matrix

A common challenge our customers face is how to communicate financial risk to their business when they measure their risk qualitatively. Qualitative risk measures can be visualized in heat maps that show the impact and likelihood of a scenario. Unfortunately, heat maps tend to be subjective and lack data to back up their inputs.

To address this gap, RQ 7.9 adds a data-driven heat map that shows scenarios calculated by RQ. The heat map can display financial scales or qualitative scales. For both scale types, you can choose to show the annualized loss expectancy (ALE) or single loss expectancy (SLE) on the *y*-axis and the P(s) (probability of an attacker succeeding) or loss event frequency (LEF) on the *x*-axis.

From the side navigation bar, select **5x5 Analysis Matrix** from the **Financial Insights** section. You will see a list of all scenarios for your Legal Entity, including Application and 'What If' scenarios, on the left and the heat map on the right. Select the **Financial Scale** or **Qualitative Scale** tab, and choose the scale for the *y*-axis and *x*-axis from the dropdowns above the heat map. Then select the checkbox for each scenario you want to include, or select the checkbox in the row header to add all scenarios. Each scenario is represented by a black dot on the heat map.





Financial-scale heat map

Hover over a dot to display a tooltip with information about its scenario. The tooltip shows the same information, including the scenario's financial impact and the likelihood for the selected axis types, on the **Financial Scale** and **Qualitative Scale** tab, providing a bridge between the two views. Click on the dot to display a window with more details about the scenario.





Qualitative-scale heat map showing a tooltip for one of the scenarios

Once you have built out your heat map, you can export it as a JPEG file by clicking **Export** at the upper right.

You can customize the labels for the qualitative scale and the financial ranges they correspond to in **Settings** \rightarrow **Model Tuning** \rightarrow **Qualitative Scale**.



Model Tuning View and edit variables that are used in financial and probability calculations. Be aware that changing the default value will greatly impact the probability of loss and expected loss values.						
Variables						
Loss Variables	Qualitative Scale					
Loss Limits	Loss Limits RQ lets you view outputs in financial terms or qualitative scales. Users can define their own qualitative scales below. The scales work on the heat map and other parts of Re as well. The tables let you set values for showing loss magnitude (ALE or SLE, the scale will be used for both).					
CVE Weighting	Name	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
Annual Attack Rate of Incidence	● Very Low 🖉	\$0	\$100K 🖋			
Fair - Primary Loss Magnitude Values						
Semi Automated FAIR Threat Event Frequency	Cow /	\$100K 🖉	\$500K 🖉			
Endpoint Score Weighting	Moderate 🖉	\$500K /	\$2M 🖉			
Qualitative Scale	High 🗡	\$2M /	\$5M 🖌			
	Severe 🖌	\$5M /	\$25M /			

Customize the labels and ranges for the qualitative scale

Technical Analysis

The **Technical Analysis** section of the side navigation bar, introduced in RQ 7.8, includes three options in RQ 7.9:

- **Technical Assets**: Select any of your configured Applications and view technical risk information for each of its endpoints.
- **Technical Risks**: View all the potential Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE®s) for your enterprise and each CVE's Exploit Prediction Scoring System (EPSS) and Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) score and related information.
- Endpoint Profile (previously found under Configuration): View a summary of the endpoint configurations in your Legal Entity.

Technical Assets

A technical asset is a workstation, server, database, container, network device, or other piece of software or hardware that an attacker can latch onto in order to execute an action. In RQ, each technical asset receives a technical risk score from 0 to 1000, with 0 being the most secure and 1000 being the least secure. On the **Technical Assets** screen, you can select any of the Applications you have configured from the dropdown in the **What is my Target?**



section to view a list of the Application's technical assets (endpoints) and the technical risk for each technical asset in the **What is my Technical Risk?** section. Select a technical asset to view a summary of its information, an assessment of its technical risk score, and its CVE findings in the **What do I know about this Endpoint?** section.

Cataloging endpoint profiles and libra	ries, identifying p	otential risks to org	ganizational sec	urity.		
Technical Assets List						
What is my Target?	What is my T	echnical Risk?			What do I know about this E	ndpoint?
Select the application for which you want to view the technical risks. Payments System Application Details Purples leased details about the surget	t the application for which you want w the technical risks. Technical Assets Technical Asset		MAC Address Q	Endpoint Information Technical Asset: amazon linux 2 - webgoat IP Address: Unknown MAC Address: Unknown	OS Platform: Unknown Source: AppSoc	
selected application.	All				Technical Risk Score	Subscores
Single Loss Expectancy	Technical Asset	↓ IP Address ↓	MAC Address 🗘	Technical Risk Score ♀		Vulnerability 65 N/A
\$63.6M	BOS-DC-02	10.151.155.12	00:15:5d:98:ff:01	847 847	Applicati	Application Security 1000 + + +
Annual Loss Expectancy	10.151.154.10	10.151.154.10	Unknown	847 847		
Total Technical Assets	BOS-VHOST-02	10.151.154.12	18:66:da:90:90:a9	846 846		
81	172.31.51.216	Unknown	Unknown	④ 812		
	ubuntu server	Unknown	Unknown	③ 812	Findings	
	10.151.154.6	10.151.154.6	Unknown	808	CVE Findings CVE findings refer to the discoveries or reports of vulnerab exposures in software, hardware, or systems that have bee assigned CVE identifiers.	
	10.151.152.101	10.151.152.101	Unknown	① 795		
	amazon linux 2 - w	veb Unknown	Unknown	⊙ 607	View	Y All Findings
	10.151.152.10	10.151.152.10	Unknown	⊙ 221		
	14:18:77:60:c3:29	10.151.156.6	14:18:77:60:c3:29	⊙ 195		
	81 records	< 1 2	3 9	> 10 / page 🗸		

View technical risk scores for an Application's technical assets (endpoints)

RQ uses four analysis factors when computing an endpoint's technical risk score:

- Vulnerability data
- Application security data
- Subnet analysis
- Partner scoring

Endpoint technical risk scores are used when evaluating CVEs on the **Short-Term Recommendations** screen.



Technical Risks

The **Technical Risks** screen lists the potential CVEs in your enterprise's environment. For each CVE, it provides the following information, which is used to calculate the technical risk score for your Legal Entity's technical assets:

- The CVE's EPSS score and exploitability ranking
- The CVE's CVSS score, date of publication, and last asset date as provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology's <u>National Vulnerability Database</u> (NVD)

C Technical Risks A comprehensive inventory of potential technical vulne	rabilities and challenges, curated to safeguard enterprise op	erations.
Technical Risks List		
CVE EPSS Score EP Filter by: CVE Q All 2 ² A	SS Exploitability CVSS Score Published Date	Last Asset Date All
What are my Technical Risks?	Exploit prediction scoring system (EPSS)	Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS)
CVE-2021-45105 >	EPSS Score: 96.62% EPSS Exploitability: 1	CVSS Score: 5.9 Published Date: December 18, 2021 6:55 AM Last Asset Date: July 25, 2022 12:41 PM
CVE-2021-20304 >	EPSS Score: 0.22% EPSS Exploitability: 0.6	CVSS Score: 7.5 Published Date: August 22, 2022 8:00 PM Last Asset Date: October 30, 2022 8:00 PM
CVE-2021-37576 >	EPSS Score: 0.1% EPSS Exploitability: 0.4	CVSS Score: 7.8 Published Date: July 26, 2021 5:35 PM Last Asset Date: September 25, 2021 10:06 AM

View CVE data used to calculate technical risk scores for your technical assets

You can click on a CVE to view its details in the NVD. You can also view more information about each CVE on <u>MITRE®'s CVE Program website</u>.

Endpoint Profile

The **Endpoint Profile** screen, previously found under **Configuration**, displays an analysis of the endpoints for the Applications configured in your Legal Entity.



Endpoint Profile Endpoint Profiles are patterns of server create.	and endpoint configurations that are foun	d across your legal entity. The default profil	e will be applied automatically to all the Applications you
Servers Workstations 81 6		You have the option to delete all your curren Howeve	nt endpoints and create new ones if needed. Pr, please note that this action is permanent.
Distribution by Operating System Below is the target endpoints distribution based	on Operating Systems. The number is based on data	ingested from scans provided in Integrations.	Distribution by Operating System Chart Distribution of target endpoints (i.e., endpoints used for storing data and/or involved in transaction processing) this profile has in place. The
Operating System	Distribution of Endpoints	Number of Endpoints	default data is based on scans provided in Integrations.
Linux	35.8%	29	
Unknown	49.38%	40	
MacOS	0%	0	
Windows Server 2012	0%	0	
Windows Server 2019	0%	0	81
Windows Server 2008 R2	0%	0	Total Endpoints
Windows Server 2008-	0%	0	
Windows Server 2016	4.94%	4	Linux Unknown MacOS Windows Server 2012
Windows Server 2012 R2	9.88%	8	Windows Server 2019 Windows Server 2008 R2 Windows Server 2008. Windows Server 2016
Windows Server 2022	0%	0	Windows Server 2012 R2 Windows Server 2022

View an analysis of the endpoint configurations in your Legal Entity



Improvements

• On the **Financial Analysis** screen in RQ 7.9, **Lower Bound** and **Upper Bound** columns were added to the **RQ-ALE** section of the **Loss Breakdown by Type and Application** table.

Fina Belov	Financial Analysis Below is a detailed view of your Financial Risk Analysis. Depending on data relevance, charts display either RQ-SLE, RQ-ALE or both. 🄊									
Lo	Loss Breakdown by Type and Application RQ-SLE RQ-ALE Search								۹	
	Attack Filter by: Data Breach									
	Per Record Flat Fees GDPR Fines					GDPR Fines	RQ-ALE			
s	How	we compute this						Lower Bound	Total	Upper Bound
catior	Data Lak	•	\$1.2M	\$1M	\$7.5M	\$2.6M	\$1.2M	\$3.6M	\$13.5M	\$51.6M
Appli	Salesford	e	\$1.2M	\$1M	\$7.5M	\$2.6M	\$1.2M	\$3.6M	\$13.5M	\$51.6M
	Workday	- US	\$1.2M	\$1M	\$4M	\$92.1K	\$0	\$3.6M	\$6.4M	\$36M

• When viewing a **Model risk to business assets** 'What If' analysis in RQ 7.8 and 7.9, there is now a **Total** row in the **Financial Analysis** table.

inancial Analysis			
Loss Type	Minimum	Most Likely	Maximum
Remediation	\$4.1M	\$4.1M	\$17.9M
Per Record Flat Fees	\$17.2K	\$369.3K	\$73.8M
GDPR Fines	\$24.7K	\$2.4M	\$31.2M
Settlement	\$7.5M	\$10.4M	\$26.1M
Legal	\$669.8K	\$906.1K	\$3.4M
Total	\$12.3M	\$18.2M	\$152.4M

• When viewing a **FAIR Scenario** 'What If' analysis in RQ 7.9, the **Characteristics of the loss** table now includes rows for 10%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 90%, and 95%.



Characteristics of the loss	
Risk's characteristics	ALE
Minimum	\$131.7K
10%	\$516.2K
25%	\$939.4K
50%	\$1.7M
Average	\$2.4M
75%	\$3.3M
90%	\$5.3M
95%	\$6.5M
Maximum	\$11.2M



Integrations

ThreatConnect RQ has added the following integrations:

• Windows Defender: This integration is used to analyze technical risks to endpoints.



Bug Fixes

- Resolved issues preventing some notifications for custom tables from being displayed in the **Notifications** section.
- Corrected issues related to the reconciliation of CVEs and endpoints.
- Enhanced performance for short-term recommendations, particularly when handling a large number of CVEs in the database.
- Fixed issues with the Data Export API where multi-control profile (MCP) scenarios were causing a 500 error due to invalid inputs in the payload.
- Addressed an issue with Single Sign-On (SSO) that was preventing updates to provider names.
- Rectified an issue in FAIR[™] scenarios by setting a limit of 3000 characters on certain fields.
- Implemented several fixes for the AppSoc integration.